

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/665,225	09/18/2003	Arihiro Takeda	1117.68336	6264	
7590 06/28/2005		EXAMINER			
Patrick G. Burns, Esq.			DUONG, THOI V		
GREER, BURNS & CRAIN, LTD. Suite 2500			. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
300 South Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606			2871 DATE MAILED: 06/28/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-	•	_
ſ	2	~
v	•	

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/665,22	5	TAKEDA ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Thoi V. Du		2871				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	idress			
THE - Externafter - If the - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state ply received by the Office later than three months after the mean patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 2 1.136(a). In no everolly within the stature individual apply and will apply and will atute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04	4 April 2005.						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) T	his action is no	on-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except f	or formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Qua	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-16</u> ie/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>13-16</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election re	quirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t	the drawing(s) be	e held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	<u>-</u>			• •			
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action or form P	ΓO-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	ign priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
,	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have beer	received in Application	on No. <u>10/047,21</u>	<u>6</u> .			
	3. \square Copies of the certified copies of the p	riority docume	nts have been receive	ed in this National	Stage			
	application from the International Bur	•	· • • •					
* \$	See the attached detailed Office action for a l	list of the certif	ed copies not receive	d.				
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) 🛛 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2)	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	(0.8)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ite	O-152\			
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date	uo)	6) Other:	асон аррісавон (РТС	J-132)			

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to the Amendment filed April 04, 2005.

Accordingly, claim 13 was amended, and claims 1-12 and 17-33 were cancelled. Currently, claims 13-16 are pending in this application.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 16 is objected to because of the following informalities: claim 16 recites the limitation "said liquid crystal molecules" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000.

Application/Control Number: 10/665,225 Page 3

Art Unit: 2871

Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

5. Claims 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Song et al. (Song, USPN 6,657,695 B1).

Re claim 13, as shown in Figs. 5-8, Song discloses a liquid crystal display device comprising:

a first substrate 10 having thereon a pixel electrode 16 and an active element (col. 6, lines 43-60);

a second substrate 20 having thereon an opposed electrode 25; and a liquid crystal layer having liquid crystal molecules 30 interposed between said first and second substrates with said electrodes facing each other (Fig. 6),

wherein a first orientation control element 3 extending in a nonparallel direction relative to an extending direction of an edge of said pixel electrode 16 (vertical edge of the pixel electrode 16 in Fig. 7) and a second orientation control element 152 (extension of control element 15) extending in a parallel direction relative to an extending direction of said edge are provided on at least one of said first and second substrates (Fig. 7); and

wherein, said second orientation control element 152 has a constant width, said width is smaller than a width of said first orientation control element 3 as shown in Fig. 7;

wherein, re claim 14, said first orientation control element 3 is a slit (opening pattern) formed in said pixel electrode 16 (col. 6, lines 1-8);

Application/Control Number: 10/665,225 Page 4

Art Unit: 2871

wherein, *re claim 15*, said second orientation control element 152 is a protrusion formed on said pixel electrode (col. 6, lines 1-8); and

wherein, *re claim 16*, a dielectric anisotropy of said liquid crystal molecules 30 of said liquid crystal layer is negative (col. 6, lines 31-37).

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thoi V. Duong whose telephone number is (571) 272-2292. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 am to 4:30 pm.

Art Unit: 2871

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Kim, can be reached at (571) 272-2293.

Thoi Duong

06/14/2005

TARIFUR R. CHOWDHURY PRIMARY EXAMINER